## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M05863

Date Received: 07/29/10

Date Extracted: 07/30/10

Date Analyzed: 08/03/10

Matrix: Water

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Zinc

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: PO M05863, F&BI 007315
Lab ID: 007315-01 x10

Data File: 007315-01 x10 007315-01 x10.021 Instrument: ICPMS1

Instrument: ICPM Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 100 60 125

54.4

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 401
Nickel 348
Copper 402

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received: Not Applicable Project: PO M05863, F&BI 007315

Date Extracted:07/30/10Lab ID:I0-404 mbDate Analyzed:08/03/10Data File:I0-404 mb.008Matrix:WaterInstrument:ICPMS1Units:ug/L (ppb)Operator:AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 93 60 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <5

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/04/10 Date Received: 07/29/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M05863, F&BI 007315

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 007290-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.30	97 b	99 b	67-132	2
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.84	95 b	95 b	73-119	0
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.05	94	95	50-144	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	7.08	94	96	46-148	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	66-135							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	67-134							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	66-134							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	57-135							

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{\it ca}$  The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{d} v$  Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js  $The\ surrogate\ associated\ with\ the\ analyte\ is\ out\ of\ control\ limits. The\ reported\ concentration\ should\ be\ considered\ an\ estimate.$
- $\label{lc-the} \mbox{lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.}$
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\mbox{\rm pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

007315		15	SAM	APLE CHA	IN OF C	US'	roi	PΥ	1	YE	0	7	/2	9/	10			AIY
2	۸ —			SAMPLERS		_			_					_	P	age #	100 100 100	of
Send Report To Deliza A. Thompson  Company Austran Copper Works				PROJECT NAMENO. PO#  Metro Sel Smo Aur mos 863							TURNAROUND TIME  Standard (2, Weeks)  RUSH Cau  Rush charges authorized by:							
Address 628 S. HARON ST  City, State, ZIP Searce WA 98454  Phone # 206-57/-6077 Fax # 206 382-4309				REMARKS  SAMPLE DISPOSAL  Dispose after 30 days  Return samples  Will call with instructions										POSAL				
1	- 1	1			T'					ANA	VOL	ם סי	FOI	<u> </u>	ED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	8270	HFS	Cac 122	, Del	) DOI	<u> </u>			Notes
m08863	01	7/29/10	1:00pn	HZO	1							1						
					8							İ						
	-	*******							-	_	_	-						
		-							1			1						
								1										
				P (														
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		BIGNATUI	RÆ		PRINT				l			co	MP A	NY			DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by and and			Sexell Troops Ace Whan Phan Fe						e e	$\frac{\sim}{\mathcal{B}}$ .	7			28/10	3:15pm		
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by		10/10	rr I	110	10	<i></i>		1	!		_	_		7/19/10 V			
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:							_	1									
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	ā.	12-12	= ====	•			S	am	ples	rec	eive	d a	t_2	6	°C			7.0

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 4, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 29, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M05863, F&BI 007315 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0804R.DOC